

MAY 2016

VOL. 16-5

PRATT'S

ENERGY LAW

REPORT



EDITOR'S NOTE: CLEAN POWER UP IN THE AIR

Steven A. Meyerowitz

THE LONG AND WINDING ROAD OF THE CLEAN POWER PLAN LITIGATION

Jim W. Rubin and H. Alex Iliff

SENATE PASSES REAUTHORIZATION BILL FOR PHMSA; HOUSE BEGINS CONSIDERATION

Michael K. Friedberg and David C. Whitestone

YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO REMAIN CONCERNED: THE CLASH BETWEEN MSHA SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS AND CIVIL PENALTY CASES

Robert Huston Beatty, Jr.

OREGON ENACTS PHASE-OUT OF "COAL BY WIRE" AND DOUBLES RENEWABLE PORTFOLIO STANDARD

Richard H. Allan

THE ARRIVAL OF THE BILLION DOLLAR OIL & GAS BANKRUPTCY CASES

Douglas E. Deutsch

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION APPROVES AN ENERGY SECURITY PACKAGE EMPHASIZING INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT AND LNG IMPORTS

Ignasi Guardans, Steven C. Sparling, David L. Wochner, Alessandro Di Mario, and Michael L. O'Neill

Pratt's Energy Law Report

VOLUME 16

NUMBER 5

MAY 2016

Editor's Note: Clean Power Up in the Air	
Steven A. Meyerowitz	167
The Long and Winding Road of the Clean Power Plan Litigation	
Jim W. Rubin and H. Alex Iliff	169
Senate Passes Reauthorization Bill for PHMSA; House Begins Consideration	
Michael K. Friedberg and David C. Whitestone	174
You Have the Right to Remain Concerned: The Clash Between MSHA Special Investigations and Civil Penalty Cases	
Robert Huston Beatty, Jr.	180
Oregon Enacts Phase-out of "Coal by Wire" and Doubles Renewable Portfolio Standard	
Richard H. Allan	186
The Arrival of the Billion Dollar Oil & Gas Bankruptcy Cases	
Douglas E. Deutsch	195
The European Commission Approves an Energy Security Package Emphasizing Infrastructure Development and LNG Imports	
Ignasi Guardans, Steven C. Sparling, David L. Wochner, Alessandro Di Mario, and Michael L. O'Neill	202

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please email:

Jacqueline M. Morris at

Email: jacqueline.m.morris@lexisnexis.com

For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:

Customer Services Department at (800) 833-9844

Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3000

Fax Number (518) 487-3584

Customer Service Web site <http://www.lexisnexis.com/custserv/>

For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call

Your account manager or (800) 223-1940

Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3000

ISBN: 978-1-6328-0836-3 (print)

ISBN: 978-1-6328-0837-0 (ebook)

ISSN: 2374-3395 (print)

ISSN: 2374-3409 (online)

Cite this publication as:

[author name], [*article title*], [vol. no.] PRATT'S ENERGY LAW REPORT [page number]
(LexisNexis A.S. Pratt);

Ian Coles, *Rare Earth Elements: Deep Sea Mining and the Law of the Sea*, 14 PRATT'S ENERGY
LAW REPORT 4 (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

This publication is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. A.S. Pratt is a registered trademark of Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license.

Copyright © 2016 Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., or Reed Elsevier Properties SA, in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

An A.S. Pratt® Publication

Editorial Office
630 Central Ave., New Providence, NJ 07974 (908) 464-6800
www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

SAMUEL B. BOXERMAN

Partner, Sidley Austin LLP

ANDREW CALDER

Partner, Kirkland & Ellis LLP

M. SETH GINTHER

Partner, Hirschler Fleischer, P.C.

R. TODD JOHNSON

Partner, Jones Day

BARCLAY NICHOLSON

Partner, Norton Rose Fulbright

BRADLEY A. WALKER

Counsel, Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC

ELAINE M. WALSH

Partner, Baker Botts L.L.P.

SEAN T. WHEELER

Partner, Latham & Watkins LLP

WANDA B. WHIGHAM

Senior Counsel, Holland & Knight LLP

Hydraulic Fracturing Developments

ERIC ROTHENBERG

Partner, O'Melveny & Myers LLP

Pratt's Energy Law Report is published 10 times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Periodicals Postage Paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices. Copyright 2016 Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 1275 Broadway, Albany, NY 12204 or e-mail Customer.Support@lexisnexis.com. Direct any editorial inquires and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 347.235.0882. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house energy counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in energy-related environmental preservation, the laws governing cutting-edge alternative energy technologies, and legal developments affecting traditional and new energy providers. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to Pratt's Energy Law Report, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 121 Chanlon Road, North Building, New Providence, NJ 07974.

The European Commission Approves an Energy Security Package Emphasizing Infrastructure Development and LNG Imports

*By Ignasi Guardans, Steven C. Sparling, David L. Wochner,
Alessandro Di Mario, and Michael L. O'Neill**

The European Commission has approved an energy security package that encourages diversification of supply, infrastructure development, and broader participation in the expanding global liquefied natural gas market. The authors of this article discuss the energy security package and its opportunities and challenges.

The European Commission recently published a Sustainable Energy Security package that aims to minimize natural gas supply disruptions across Europe.¹ In addition to efforts to manage increasing natural gas demand and improve cooperation between European Union (“EU”) Member States, the program encourages diversification of supply, infrastructure development, and broader participation in the expanding global liquefied natural gas (“LNG”) market.²

THE ENERGY SECURITY PACKAGE

In formal terms, this Energy Security Package is composed of a series of policy and regulatory initiatives of diverse nature and legal effects, which are to be formally approved and implemented in different ways and by different authorities, partly at the European and partly at national or Member State level.

* Ignasi Guardans, PhD, is a partner in K&L Gates LLP’s Brussels office, practicing in the area of public policy law. Steven C. Sparling is a partner in the firm’s Washington, D.C., and Houston offices, focusing his practice on energy, particularly the global LNG and oil industries. David L. Wochner is a partner in the firm’s Washington, D.C., office, concentrating his practice on natural gas, LNG, and oil related matters. Alessandro Di Mario is an associate resident in the firm’s Brussels office and a member of the Antitrust, Competition and Trade Regulation group. Michael L. O’Neill is an associate in the firm’s Washington, D.C., office, focusing his practice on energy, infrastructure, and natural resources issues. The authors may be contacted at ignasi.guardans@klgates.com, steven.sparling@klgates.com, david.wochner@klgates.com, alessandro.dimario@klgates.com, and mike.o'neill@klgates.com, respectively.

¹ European Commission, “Towards Energy Union: The Commission Presents Sustainable Energy Security Package” (Feb. 16, 2016) *available at* http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_IP-16-307_en.htm.

² European Commission, Fact Sheet: “Liquefied Natural Gas and Gas Storage Will Boost EU’s Energy Security” (Feb. 16, 2016), *available at* http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_MEMO-16-310_en.htm.

The Package includes four areas of action:³

1. **Security of gas supply:** the European Commission proposes a new Regulation (to be approved by the Council and the Parliament) concerning measures to safeguard the security of gas supply, which will replace the current one approved in 2010. As any Regulation, this will be a binding legal instrument imposing identical obligations across the whole EU;
2. **Intergovernmental agreements:** the European Commission proposes new rules, to be approved by the Council and the Parliament, imposing obligations on Member State Governments regarding their energy agreements with non-EU countries;
3. **LNG and gas storage:** the European Commission presents a Communication, including a series of policy and regulatory initiatives to be developed, and asking Member States and other EU authorities to follow certain policy lines; and
4. **Heating and cooling:** the European Commission approves another Communication of similar effect to the previous one but with different content.

LNG IMPORTS CAN DIVERSIFY EU'S GAS SUPPLY PORTFOLIO

. . .

Increased LNG imports supported by expanding natural gas pipeline and storage infrastructure may have significant commercial and geopolitical ramifications for European LNG importers and global LNG suppliers alike.

As the largest natural gas importer in the world, the EU relies on imports to meet more than half of its annual natural gas demands. In recent years, Russia has emerged as the largest natural gas supplier for the EU, followed by Norway with Algeria as a distant third. Many EU Member States, particularly those in southeastern Europe and the Baltics, depend on a single natural gas supplier. Therefore, these nations are more vulnerable to supply disruptions, particularly during high demand periods like cold weather during winter.

Diversifying natural gas supplies is especially groundbreaking for Eastern and Southern European nations that historically have depended on a single natural gas provider: Russia's state-owned Gazprom. Access to a comprehensive portfolio of gas suppliers around the world, most notably the United States with

³ Legal texts in full, together with their supporting documents, can be found here: <https://ec.europa.eu/energy/en/news/commission-proposes-new-rules-gas-and-heating-and-cooling-strategy>.

its impending entrance into the ranks of major LNG exporting nations, strengthens European gas consumers' negotiating positions with Gazprom, leading to possible incremental changes in the European gas market. The ability to develop a portfolio of supply options—in a similar way as Asian utilities have done over decades—will give Europeans greater security of supply. But the EU must develop additional market and physical infrastructure to take advantage of the benefits of LNG imports.

. . . BUT ONLY WITH DEVELOPMENT OF PHYSICAL AND COMMERCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE THAT ALLOWS ALL EU MEMBER STATES ACCESS TO THE GLOBAL GAS MARKET

The Sustainable Energy Security Package recognizes that LNG imports can only support EU Member States to the extent that the Members have both physical and commercial infrastructure to integrate natural gas market across Europe. The package recognizes that additional pipelines are necessary to further integrate Europe's physical gas market and proposes additional pipeline investment in Estonia, Romania, Bulgaria, Croatia, Hungary, Lithuania, Poland, France, Spain, and Portugal. Likewise, the package notes that natural gas storage is not distributed widely across the EU, necessitating pipeline connections between nations with natural gas storage capacity and those without access to storage facilities.

In addition to investment in physical infrastructure, the European Commission also addressed political agreements between EU Member States and non-EU countries. The European Commission proposed new rules that would require that the European Commission approve all intergovernmental agreements ("IGAs") on energy.⁴ The policy would apply to IGAs between one or more EU Member State(s) and non-EU countries. IGAs provide "political and legal support" that can form the basis for commercial negotiations between EU and non-EU nations. Due to the potential impacts of energy IGAs on the EU's internal energy market, the European Commission believes it is necessary to ensure that all IGAs comply with EU law. In the current system, approved in 2010, the European Commission can only object to an IGA after the parties have signed it. Now, recognizing the failure of such a compulsory notification method, the European Commission proposes the introduction of a mandatory *ex-ante* compatibility check, forcing Member States to notify the European Commission of their draft IGAs before concluding the IGA.

OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES

Most importantly, the Sustainable Energy Security Package will encourage

⁴ European Commission, Fact Sheet: "Intergovernmental Agreements in Energy" (Feb. 16, 2016), available at http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_MEMO-16-309_en.htm.

broader access to the global LNG market. Broader market access will lead to competition for European gas customers, which should lead to lower gas prices for consumers. The Baltic States' experience already demonstrates this pattern. Lithuania's Klaipedia LNG import terminal, which began operations in 2014, has encouraged competition among natural gas suppliers for access to Baltic gas customers. In February 2016, two gas market participants signed terminal use agreements to receive Norwegian LNG supplies equivalent to up to 50 percent of Lithuania's gas demand for 2016.⁵ Similar infrastructure development across the rest of the EU should encourage similar competition between established market participants and new market entrants alike and should moderate natural gas prices for European gas consumers.

Despite the economic benefits, a number of challenges remain. First, the program's success depends on further integrating European natural gas markets and capital-intensive physical infrastructure. European energy market integration and transparency has proven an elusive goal for more than a decade. Economic conditions, energy supply options, and environmental factors vary significantly across the EU Member States, limiting the effectiveness of EU-wide policy mandates. The program's move toward regional, not EU-wide, energy policymaking is an important step.⁶ Funding infrastructure development is another significant obstacle, and will require substantial capital investments. But in order to harvest the full benefits of participation in the global natural gas market, EU Member States must continue to integrate their energy markets and physical infrastructure.

Second, participation in the global natural gas market means competing for gas supplies with traditional LNG purchasers like Japan and South Korea, as well as with emerging LNG consumers like China and India. If Asian energy demand increases over the medium and long run, EU Member States could face higher LNG prices, though proximity to U.S. Gulf of Mexico LNG exports should help mitigate against some price spikes in the medium to long term. In fact, representatives of several Central and Eastern European nations have been advocating aggressively with the White House and Congress in Washington, DC, to liberalize the U.S. regulatory approval process for LNG exports.⁷ It

⁵ Petras Vaida, *Lithuanian LNG Terminal Is Stimulating Competition*, THE BALTIC COURSE (Feb. 10, 2016), available at <http://www.baltic-course.com/eng/energy/?doc=116562>.

⁶ European Commission, Fact Sheet: "Security of Gas Supply Regulation" (Feb. 16, 2016), available at http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_MEMO-16-308_en.htm.

⁷ See, e.g., "Latvian Minister Addresses LNG Allies," at <http://www.lngallies.com/latvian-minister-addresses-lng-allies/> (Jul. 18, 2015); "In Response to Russian Aggression, Key Central European Nations Plead for U.S. Natural Gas Exports," at <http://www.speaker.gov/press-release/>

seems reasonable to expect that some EU gas consumers, including several of those engaging in this U.S. debate, might consider marginal natural gas price increases to be a small cost for supply diversity, particularly if their country historically has been held captive to Russian gas supplies. But participation in the global market means increased exposure to economic and non-economic factors around the world that can affect the price consumers pay for natural gas.

This action represents one more example of the European Commission addressing an important European issue with a “package;” that is, by simultaneously pushing a series of linked legal instruments dealing with the different and interrelated aspects of the matter. In political terms, this method has proven effective in facilitating the debate as a whole, instead of in fragmented and isolated discussions on each of the measures proposed. However, as each one of the measures needs to follow its own path of approval and effective implementation, there have been cases where “packages” as a whole have been delayed or strongly weakened due to Member State resistance to some of the parts of the package. This should not be the case here, but it may be too early in the process to anticipate the terms of each debate.

response-russian-aggression-key-central-european-nations-plead-us-natural-gas-exports#sthash.ZlR5vQqp.dpuf (Mar. 8, 2014).